



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,730	10/01/2003	Michael A. Bridges	VANS121762	2936
26389	7590	04/23/2007	EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			KIM, SUN U	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800			1723	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,730	BRIDGES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Kim	1723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-26 and 28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 16-19,23-26 and 28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on February 12, 2007.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 20-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 3, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 16-19 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 16 is indefinite for failing to particularly point out particular structures between a base and a purifier vessel that allows untreated water to enter the purifier vessel from the sides of the opening of the purifier vessel. For instance, a ring member has a cylindrical sidewall with a plurality of holes (128) and the purifier vessel has a plurality of pegs (140) spaced along the upper circular surface of the horizontal flange (136) to define a plurality of spaces between adjacent pegs to allow untreated water to flow therethrough and into the purifier vessel (132). Furthermore, claim 16 is indefinite for failing to particularly point out a purifier vessel in fluid communication with a dwell chamber to provide treated water of purifier vessel to flow to a dwell chamber. Claim 23 is also indefinite for failing to particularly point out a purifier vessel in fluid communication with a dwell chamber to provide treated water of purifier vessel to flow to a dwell chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 23-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid in view of Worley et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,548,054.

Regarding Claims 23-24 and 28, Reid discloses a water purification cartridge, comprising: a purifier vessel (#38) and a dwell chamber (#50) enclosed within an outer skin (#20) (see Fig.1; col. 2, line 54 – col. 4, line 14). Reid discloses that the purifier vessel (#38) contains halogenated resin as biocides (Col. 3, lines 17-28) but does not disclose a halogenated polystyrene hydantoin or halogenated hydantoinylated siloxane wherein the residual halogen concentration is less than 1 ppm. Worley et al teach biocides used for water purification including halogenated polystyrene hydantoin wherein the halogen is chlorine or bromine (Abstract; Col. 2, line 16 – col. 3, line 65). Worley et al further teaches that amount of biocidal

Art Unit: 1723

halogen covalently bonded to the hydantoin rings are controlled depending on the intended application (Col. 4, lines 22-25; Col. 11, line 60 – Col. 12, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute halogenated polystyrene hydantoin for halogenated resin of Reid because both are biocides used in water filters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to discover optimum loading of halogen onto a polymer having pendant hydantoin groups or halogenated polystyrene hydantoin for effective biocidal activity for water purification including claimed residual halogen concentration of less than 1 ppm. See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Peterson, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-15 are allowed.
7. Claims 16-19 and 25-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 16-19, 23-26 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicants argues that Hatch patent (US Patent No. 4,594,392) in Reid reference teaches the halogen concentration in the effluent of the various halogenated and/or interhalogenated vinylpyridine resins showing 8.2 mg/L as I₂ in Table 3 of the Hatch patent. However, Hatch patent also suggests that the resin can be prepared initially with a lower weight percent of halogens if a lower level of halogen is desired in the effluent (see col. 8, lines 63-66).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


John Kim

**Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723**

JK
4/18/07